# The emergence of Μίδδle English

And setten lavojes to the Salles of me bout and by seen entred and betten his Sof and Somids his i fyne moztal Somides ni fine sonow places this in for feet. in fip fandes in fire course places this and leften fip fandes in fire course and setten asset tonnes Sas in to his forus and sange al this web The digraph replaces <ð> to represent [ $\theta$ ], though <Þ> is still used by some scribes to represent this phoneme, particularly in Early Middle English, e.g. OE ðrin**3**an (to press) becomes in ME thringen.

- <qu> replaces <cw> to represent [kw], e.g. OE cwen (queen) becomes ME queen;
- The digrapg <ch> replaces OE <c> to represent the phoneme [t∫], e.g. OE cīld (child) becomes ME child;
- The phoneme [∫] is now represented by the digraph <sh> as opposed to the OE <sc>, e.g. OE sceran (to shear) becomes ME sheren.

<ch> and <sh>: <h> indicated that the pronunciation of the previous <c> and <s> was different from that which these letters normally indicated (/k/ and /s/ or /z/: candel, hūs, rīsen).

<h>> was acting as a **diacritic: an indicator of a different pronunciation.** It indicated here that the digraphs represented and **affricate** (as in church) and a **fricative sound** (as in sure), respectively.

However, in several instances Middle English scribes misunderstood the significance of <h>. For instance, in PDE such words as 'where' and 'when' which begin with the digraph <wh>, began in OE with <hw>: hwær and hwanne. But by the Middle English period these words were being spelled with initial <wh>: wher and whanne:

hw $\bar{a}r \rightarrow wh\bar{e}r \rightarrow where$ hwanne  $\rightarrow whanne \rightarrow when$ 

The reason is that Middle English scribes assumed that in cases like these <h> was working like a diacritic to indicate a fricative pronunciation of <w>, like the final consonant sound of PDE loch. This was not the case and yet the graphs <h> and <w> were reversed in a **misperceived attempt at regularization**.

MIDDLE ENGLISH	SOUNDS LIKE MODERN
y, i "myne, sight"	"meet"
e, ee "me, meet" [close 'e']	"mate"
<b>e</b> "begge, rede" [open 'e']	"b <b>a</b> g"
<b>a</b> , <b>aa</b> "mate, maat"	"father"
<b>u</b> , <b>ou</b> "hus, hous"	"b <b>oo</b> t"
<b>o</b> , <b>oo</b> "bote, boot" [close 'o']	" <b>oa</b> k"
o "lof" [open 'o']	"b <b>ough</b> t"

For the most part, consonants are pronounced as they are in PDE. Some exceptions concern the following graphemes:

- <c> retains the pronunciation [k] but no longer has the pronunciation [tf] ([tf] is represented by the French digraph <ch>);
- 2. <c> also now has the pronunciation [s] in French loan words such as **protestacioun**.
- <gh>> and <3> are pronounced [x] (similar to the final consonant sound in PDE loch) when in the middle of a word;
- 4. <3> is pronounced [j] especially when it occurs as the initial letter of a word.

The older endings -an (infinitives and most of the oblique, or non-nominative, forms of n-stem nouns), -on (indicative preterit plurals), and -en (subjunctive preterit plurals and past participles of strong verbs) all fell together as -en.

**Old English** findan (inf.) fundon (pret. pl.) funden (past part.) Middle English fīnde(n) founde(n) founde(n)

Modern find found found

With the later loss of final inflectional -n in some of these forms, only -e [ə] was left, and in time this was also to go. This loss accounts for endingless infinitives, preterit plurals, and some past participles of strong verbs in Modern English.

	Singular	Plural
First Person		
Nom.	ich, I, ik	wē
Obj.	mē	us
Gen.	mī; mīn	our(e); oures
Second Person		
Nom.	thou	yē
Obj.	thee	you
Gen.	thī; thīn	your(e); youres
Third Person (masc	uline)	
Nom.	hē	hī, they, thai
Obj.	him, hine	hem, heom, them, thaim, theim
Gen.	his character	her(e), their(e); heres, theirs
Third Person (femin	nine)	
Nom.	shē, hō, hyō, hyē, hī,	
	schō, chō, hē	
Obj.	hir(e), her(e), hī	
Gen.	hir(e), her(e); hires	
Third Person (neute	er)	
Nom.	hit, it	
Obj.	hit, it	
Gen.	his	

As unstressed vowels fell together, some of the distinctions in personal endings disappeared, with a resulting simplification in verb conjugation. With finden 'to find' (strong) and thanken 'to thank' (weak) as models, the indicative forms were as follows in the Midland dialects:

#### Present

ich	fin
thou	fin
hē/shē	fin
wē/yē/they	fin

finde findest findeth, findes finde(n), findes thanke thankest thanketh, thankes thanke(n), thankes

#### Preterit

ich thou hē/shē wē/yē/they f**ǫ**nd founde f**ǫ**nd founde(n) thanked(e) thankedest thanked(e) thanked(e(n)) The verbs 'been', 'to be' (OE 'bēon'), 'doon', 'to do' (OE dōn), 'willen', 'to want', 'will' (OE 'willan'), and 'gǫǫn', 'to go' (OE 'gān') remained highly irregular in Middle English.

Typical Midland indicative forms of 'been' and 'willen' follow:

#### Present

ich	am
thou	art, beest
hē/shē	is, beeth
wē/yē/they	bee(n), beeth, sinden

wil(le), wol(le)\* wilt, wolt wil(le), wol(le) wilen, wol(n)

\*This forms survives in won't, that is, wol not.

#### Preterit

ich	was
thou	wast, wēre
hē/shē	was
wē/yē/they	wēre(n)

wolde woldest wolde wolde(n) These were the most common endings for the present tense of Old English verbs, using tellan 'count' (later, 'teli') as an illustration:

first-p. singular second-p. singular third-p. singular first-p. plural second-p. plural third-p. plural -e -est, -ast, -st -eð, -að, -ð -að,-ð -að,-ð -að, -ð ic celle Pu tellest he/heo/hit telleò we tellað ge tellað hi tellað I count thou countest he/she/it counts we count you count they count

However, in the north, during the 800s, a new system was evolving alongside this one. Northumbrian texts of the late ninth century illustrate a simpler set of endings: an -s form is used for every person apart from the first person singular. For tellan, that system would probably have looked like this:

ic telle Þu tellas he/heo/hit tellas we tellas ge tellas hi tellas ken entred and betten fils Sof and Somides fils i fyne moztal Somides in fyne oondy places this hy feet in fys fandes in fyne oors, in hy nole an And leften fry foz des and Seinten assep 10 Sala tonnes Sas in to file forus and orange al this web There is no reason to think that the language-contact situation in the ninth century would have been any different. As Anglo-Saxons and Danes began to accommodate to each other's ways of talking, there would have been many occasions for errors to arise, as we have already seen in other connections. And the errors could have come either from the Anglo-Saxon side or from the Danish side.

• In the first scenario, Anglo-Saxons heard other -s forms in Danish speech, assumed they were present-tense forms, and began to use them as part of their own system.

• In the second scenario, Danes tried to use the English present-tense -ò forms, but mispronounced them as -s. The Anglo-Saxons then found the -s forms congenial, eventually using them as part of their own system.

Aus leften bye for see and senten asses I said to be house and sange al this well



Geoffrey Chaucer wrote *The Canterbury Tales*, a collection of stories in a frame story, between 1387 and 1400. It is the story of a group of thirty people who travel as pilgrims to Canterbury (England). The pilgrims, who come from all layers of society, tell stories to each other to kill time while they travel to Canterbury.

Thomas Becket was born in around 1120, the son of a prosperous London merchant. He was well educated and quickly became an agent to Theobald, Archbishop of Canterbury, who sent him on several missions to Rome. Becket's talents were noticed by Henry II, who made him his chancellor and the two became close friends. When Theobald died in 1161, Henry made Becket archbishop. Becket transformed himself from a pleasure-loving courtier into a serious, simply-dressed cleric. The king and his archbishop's friendship was put under strain when it became clear that Becket would now stand up for the church in its disagreements with the king. In 1164, realizing the extent of Henry's displeasure, Becket fled into exile in France, and remained in exile for several years. He returned in 1170. On the 29 December 1170, four knights, believing the king wanted Becket out of the way, confronted and murdered Becket in Canterbury Cathedral. Becket was made a saint in 1173 and his shrine in Canterbury Cathedral became an important focus for pilgrimage.

### Chaucer's final -e

http://sites.fas.harvard.edu/~chaucer/ http://sites.fas.harvard.edu/~chaucer/teachslf/less-3.htm http://sites.fas.harvard.edu/~chaucer/pronunciation/

**The rhythm** of Chaucer's verse <u>is dependent on this final -e</u>. In the *Canterbury Tales* Chaucer customarily writes a <u>five-stress, ten-syllable line</u>, alternating unstressed and stressed syllables (what would later be called **iambic pentameter**):

The dróghte of Márch hath pérced tó the róte. [ $\theta \Rightarrow dru:xt \Rightarrow fmart \int ha \theta p \epsilon:s \Rightarrow d to: \theta \Rightarrow ro:t \Rightarrow$ ]

The word <u>perced must have two syllables</u> (rather than the one it has in modern "pierced"). Note that the final <u>-e on droghte</u> is not pronounced; this is because a <u>vowel follows</u>. Final -e is not pronounced when the following word begins with a vowel (or often *h*- and *w*-). Incidentally, the final <u>-e on rote at the end of the line is pronounced but not counted as metrical</u> (that is, stands aside from the ten syllables ordinarily required).

It is as important to omit the final *-e* when a vowel, *h-*, or *w-* follows as it is to pronounce it in other contexts:

Why artow angry with my <u>tale</u> now? Telle of a somonour swich a <u>tale</u> or two

In the first example, *tale* has <u>two syllables</u>; in the second a vowel follows *tale* and the *-e* is elided.

Likewise the meter is ruined if one fails to pronounce the <u>inflectional</u> <u>endings</u> (*ed*, *-en*, and *-es*):

But if I telle tales two or thre Save unto yow thus muche I tellen shal Ye sholde han warned me, er I had gon,

Final -e is always pronounced unless a vowel (or *h*- or *w*-) follows, and inflectional e in -ed, -es, -en is always pronounced.

Words of <u>three syllables and more</u> are frequently slurred in pronunciation, as often happens in modern English. We almost never pronounce the word "every" with three full syllables (we say "evry"). Chaucer did the same:

## Inspíred háth in évery hólt and héeth [inspi:rəd ha $\theta$ in $\varepsilon$ vri holt and h $\varepsilon$ : $\theta$ ]

1 Whán that Áprill wíth his shóures sóote 2 The dróght(e) of Márch hath pérced tó the róote, 3 And báthed év(e)ry véyn(e) in swích licóur 4 Of which vertú engéndred is the flóur; 5 Whan Zéphirús éek wíth his swéete bréeth 6 Inspíred háth in ev(e)ry hólt and heath 7 The téndre cróppes, ánd the yónge sónn(e) 8 Hath in the Rám his hálf cours yrónn(e), 9 And smále fów(e)les máken mélodýe, 10 That slépen ál the nýght with ópen ýe 11 (So príketh hem Natúr(e) in hír coráges), 12 Thanne lóngen fólk to góon on pílgrimáges, 13 And pálm(e)res fór to séken stráunge stróndes, 14 To férne hálwes, kówth(e) in sóndry lóndes; 15 And spéciallý from évery shír<u>es</u> énd(e) 16 Of Éngelónd to Cáunterb(u)rý they wénd(e), 17 The hóoly blísful mártir fór to séke, 18 That hém háth hólp<u>en</u> whán that théy were séek<u>e</u>.

1 [hwan  $\theta$  at a:pril wi  $\theta$  his  $\int \sigma$ :res so:tə] 2 [ $\theta$  = dru:xt of mart $\int$  ha  $\theta$  ps:sed to:  $\theta$  = ro:te] 3 [and ba:ðəd ɛvri vɛin in swit∫ liku:r] 4 [of hwit  $\int v \varepsilon rtiv \varepsilon rd \varepsilon rd \sigma e flu:r]$ 5 [hwan zefiros e:k wi  $\theta$  his swe:tə bre:  $\theta$ ] 6 [inspi:red ha  $\theta$  in  $\varepsilon$  vri holt and h $\varepsilon$ :  $\theta$ ] 7 [ $\theta$  ə tɛndrə kroppəs and  $\theta$  ə jʊngə sʊnnə] 8 [ha  $\theta$  in  $\theta$   $\Rightarrow$  ram his halve cu:rs ironn $\Rightarrow$ ] 9 [and sma:le fu:les ma:ken melodi:e] 10 [ $\theta$  at sle:pən al  $\theta$  ə nixt wi $\theta$  o:pən i:ə] 11 [so: prike  $\theta$  hem na:tior in hir kora:d3 $\theta$ ] 12 [ $\theta$  an longen folk to: go:n on pilgrima:d<sub>3</sub>es] 13 [and pa:lmers for to: se:ken straundze strondes] 14 [to: fɛrnə halwəs, ku:  $\theta$  in sʊndri londəs] 15 [and spesiali from ενri *fi*:rəs endə] 16 [of engelond to: kaunterbri:  $\theta$  ei wende] 17 [ $\theta$  ə ho:li blisfol martir for to: se:kə] 18 [ $\theta$  at hem ha  $\theta$  holpon hwan  $\theta$  at  $\theta$  ei we:r se:ko]

https://archive.org/details/P\_CHA\_GEO\_01

1 Whán that Áprill wíth his shóur<u>es</u> sóot<u>e</u> 2 The dróght(e) of Márch hath pérced tó the róote, 3 And báthed év(e)ry véyn(e) in swích licóur 4 Of which vertú engéndred is the flóur; 5 Whan Zéphirús éek wíth his swéete bréeth 6 Inspíred háth in év(e)ry hólt and heath 7 The téndr<u>e</u> crópp<u>es</u>, ánd the yóng<u>e</u> sónn(e) 8 Hath in the Rám his hálf cours yrónn(e), 9 And smále fów(e)les máken mélodýe, 10 That slép<u>en</u> ál the nýght with ópen ý<u>e</u> 11 (So príketh hem Natúr(e) in hír coráges), 12 Thanne lóngen fólk to góon on pílgrimáges, 13 And pálm(e)res fór to séken stráunge stróndes, 14 To férne hálwes, kówth(e) in sóndry lóndes; 15 And spéciallý from évery shír<u>es</u> énd(e) 16 Of Éngelónd to Cáunterb(u)rý they wénd(e), 17 The hóoly blísful mártir fór to séke, 18 That hém háth hólpen whán that théy were séeke.

